



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND JON IERLEY, CELEBRATION UNITED METHODIST CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS, REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:
Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:08)

1-1

REVEREND JON IERLEY, Celebration United Methodist Church, gave the invocation.

(9:08 – 9:09)

1-17

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:09 – 9:11)

1-50

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001 Announcements – Continued

MAYOR GOODMAN announced the Mayor's 5K Run to be held on 2/10/2001 at 7:30 a.m., and that he would be initiating the run.

(9:11 – 9:12)

1-109

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN recognized PATRICK TROUT as February's Citizen of the Month for taking the vanguard in doing most of the legwork and identifying almost all of the elements of the upcoming ten-acre neighborhood park at Gowan and Durango. MR. TROUT created a website, worked with the neighborhood, and became the point of contact at town hall meetings. The elements he identified will be submitted to the designers to incorporate them into the park. MR. TROUT is a very humble man that took the initiative in this project because he felt it was the right thing to do. MRS. TROUT stated that MR. TROUT is a great person.

(9:12 – 9:15)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF BLACK HISTORY MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that every day people learn something new. Just that morning he was telling COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that slave owners were referred to as subscribers. He then turned to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD to make the presentation.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that in honor of Black History Month he and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD would be hosting students from Charles I. West and Ernest A. Becker Middle Schools in order that they may learn more about public policy and local government. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD respectively introduced the following students from West Middle School and from Becker Middle School: JUSTIN EVERETT, DONTE JOHNSON, RAINEE SAYLES, and VALENCIA WILSON, as well as the West Middle School Administrator; and GRAYSON COLLYMORE, BOOKER REID, BRITTANI REID, ZSAVAY ANDREWS, GLENNA LOAR, Assistant Principal.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Ceremonial

Black History Month

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that she and her fellow Council members were thrilled to have the students join them today. She commented that her passion for government started when she ran for Junior High School Vice President and won. She cited a quote from HELEN KELLER and hoped that the students would learn from this experience that leadership is very important and that everybody must individually strive to make a difference.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that in order to move on to the future, each person must reach back to his/her roots. With honor he introduced and recognized 106-year-old WILLIAM BROWN. He read and presented MR. BROWN with a proclamation and stated that MR. BROWN attributes his longevity to a clean life free of alcohol and drugs and always putting God first. He proclaimed February 7, 2001, WILLIAM BROWN DAY in the City of Las Vegas. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also presented MR. BROWN with a bouquet of flowers and a fruit basket.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD pointed out that Channel 8 notified her that City employee LOUIS CARR, Geographic Information Systems Manager, would be featured as a Portrait of Pride this month. MR. CARR has always set goals for himself, and those goals led him to Stanford University, where he received his degree in electrical engineering. He has done tremendous things for the City of Las Vegas and the entire community. She recognized MR. CARR as a Portrait of Pride.

MR. CARR proudly thanked the Council and his supervisor, JOSEPH MARCELLA, for nominating him for this award and for encouraging all his employees to get involved in the community, because he believes that government is about helping other people. MR. CARR stated that the Portrait of Pride award is not a singular award, for in all of the local organizations that he has become involved in there were also many other people that were involved who worked with him to accomplish their goals.

Under Item 3, TOM McGOWAN stated that finally, with the students from Charles I. West and Becker Middle Schools, there would be a minority majority sitting on the City Council.

(9:24 – 9:35/9:37 – 9:39)

1-512/1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF HISPANIC COMMUNITY CENSUS OUTREACH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that during the 2000 Census many City employees worked very hard to ensure that all segments of the community were counted. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY invited JOSE BOLANOS, Recruiting Manager for Southern Nevada, Census 2000, to come forward to make the presentation. MR. BOLANOS, on behalf of SUSAN LAVINE, Regional Manager, Census 2000, announced that the 2000 Census was one of the greatest and successful in this era, not only for the count, but also for the wellness of the local community. A lot of this success was due to the dedication of many people. One of those people was MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH, Management Analyst II, Neighborhood Services, who came forward to be recognized. MR. BOLANOS read a letter of appreciation and praise to MRS. CASTILLO-COUCH from MS. LAVINE.

MRS. CASTILLO-COUCH accepted a certificate of appreciation from MS. LAVINE and thanked SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, and STEVE HARSIN, Planning Supervisor, of Neighborhood Services, and everyone that supported her in this effort. She was able to get the word out and explain the process to Hispanics on the Sunday radio program.

(9:15 – 9:19)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

SPECIAL PRESENTATION BY MAYOR GOODMAN

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN presented a proclamation to SCOTT SHEPHERD, better known as the Lone Ranger, for bringing smiles to people's faces, especially children. Around Christmas time he and the Lone Ranger visited the children's cancer ward at Sunrise Hospital. These children were so happy to see the Lone Ranger that for one moment they were able to forget their immediate pain and problems and were able to enjoy life. The Lone Ranger not only brings joy to people, but also represents a code of ethics that promotes truth, decency, fairness, loyalty, courage, and morality.

MR. SHEPHERD stated that the role of the Lone Ranger has been a great role for him and one that has been dear to his heart for a long time. In this role he is able to reach people all across the world. The Lone Ranger is not just a person with a mask; it is a person behind a mask that wants to help out. He encouraged people to keep supporting the ideals that the Lone Ranger stands for.

(9:19 – 9:24)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)
RONEMUS**

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff, and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting, may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 62, 64, and 65 and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 69 and 73 to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 69

NOTE: Initially under Item No. 1, COUNCILMAN McDONALD voted yes on Item 69; however, following Item 113 of the afternoon session he requested that the record reflect his abstention on that matter.

MINUTES:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, requested that Item 33 be pulled out of the Consent Agenda for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37/4:09)

1-892/5-1992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI)
RONEMUS**

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of January 3, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:37)

1-944

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 12/16/00 - 12/31/00

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 8,668,109.60
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,114,795.64
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 30,444,521.24
Other Checks and Investments	\$ 276,244.18

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

Under Item 1, AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, requested that Item 33 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Special Event Liquor License, Applicant: The Solidarity Generation Foundation, Inc., Location: 2900 Stewart Avenue, Date: February 24, 2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: Charitable Event - Carnival, Responsible Person in Charge: Anna Niezgoda - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Special Event Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of new Tavern Liquor License, Race Rock of Las Vegas, LLC, dba Race Rock, 495 Fremont Street, Race Rock Holdings, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Andrew E. Newman, COB, Asst Secy, Lawrence M. Scott, 10%, Andrew E. Newman Trust, 31.7%, Andrew E. Newman, Trustee, Craig E. Tribus, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of new Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's #6011, 1650 North Buffalo Drive, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of new Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership/Change of Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, From: Tammy Vuu, dba Xinh Xinh Restaurant, Tammy Vuu, 100%, To: Can Quach, dba Xinh Xinh, 220 West Sahara Ave., Can N. Quach, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership/Change of Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer/Stockholder for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Chicago Joe's, Ltd., dba Chicago Joe's, 820 South 4th Street, Mary S. Collura, Secy, Treas, 40% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer/Stockholder for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License, Buca (Nevada), Inc., dba Buca Di Beppo, 7690 West Lake Mead Blvd., Steven A. Peate, Mgr - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Pizza Hut of Las Vegas, dba Pizza Huts of Las Vegas #104001, 825 N. Rancho Dr.; Pizza Huts of Las Vegas #104011, 3001 W. Sahara Ave.; Pizza Huts of Las Vegas #104014, 7000 W. Charleston Blvd., Gary M. Egelin, Key Employee - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Gaming License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Arizona Charlie's, Inc., dba Arizona Charlie's Hotel & Casino, 740 South Decatur Blvd., Ronald P. Lurie, EVP, Asst Secy, Gen Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Gaming License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership/Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Cassell-Friedman, Inc., dba King of Clubs, Richard F. Ferrara, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: Clavir, Inc., dba King of Clubs Tavern, 1401 North Decatur Blvd., Leslie M. Clavir, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership/Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots, NSLN, Inc., dba From: Castaways Casino, To: Alibi Casino, 1690 North Decatur Blvd., Ronald T. Coury, Dir, Pres, 40%, Daniel T. Hughes, Dir, Secy, Treas, 40%, Arthur S. Coury, Dir, VP, 20% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License, and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company db at Albertson's #6011, 1650 North Buffalo Drive - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Liquor Caterer License, Gustav International Chartered, dba Speidini Ristorante, 221 North Rampart Blvd., Suite 7136, Gustav E. Mauler, Dir, Pres, and Denise M. Mauler, 50% jointly as husband and wife, Paul C. Steelman, Dir, Treas, and Maryann T. Steelman, Dir, Secy, 50% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Liquor Caterer License, Gustav International Chartered

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Ultimate Alarm, dba Ultimate Alarm, From: 3401 Sirius Ave., Suite 5, To: 6532 Alta Dr., Angel J. Yumart, Ptnr, 37 1/2 %, Idalberto Yumart, Ptnr, 37 1/2 %, David J. Montgomery, Ptnr, 25% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Burglar Alarm License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Lucy Wojcik, dba Desert Escape, 5158 South Jones Blvd., Unit 108, Lucy M. Wojcik, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Eunice Stets, dba Eunice Stets, 6220 Parsifal Place, Eunice K. Stets, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Brian Potter, dba Brian Potter, 1000 East Sahara Ave., Suite 108, Brian K. Potter, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Merly P. Justice, dba Better Body & Soul, 4480 East Charleston Blvd., Merly P. Justice, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Dan C. Williams, dba Dan C. Williams, 2325 Homeland Street, Daniel C. Williams, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Larry Gloyd, dba Larry Gloyd, 2228 Steinke Lane, Larry A. Gloyd, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Hans Zimmer, dba Hands by Hans Massage Therapy, From: 8600 Starboard Drive, Unit 1020, To: 4480 East Charleston Blvd., Hans J. Zimmer, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Xiu Chun Qi, dba Xiu Chun Qi, From: 3909 West Sahara Ave., Suite 6, To: 3552 Wynn Road, Xiu Chun Qi, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Locksmith License, Jeffrey Thomas Kelly, dba Guardian Locksmith Service, 7928 Thorne Pine Ave., Jeffrey T. Kelly, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Locksmith License, Vegas Valley Locking Systems, Inc., dba Vegas Valley Locking Systems, From: 2969 Highland Drive, To: 6207 Industrial Road, Bruce D. Gwin, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for a Locksmith License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Tere Shannon-Robertson, dba Keyman, From: 3001 East Charleston Blvd., Suite H, To: 3001 East Charleston, Suite A, Tere S. Robertson, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for a Locksmith License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Change of Business Name for a Martial Arts Instruction Business License, From: Thomas Griffin, dba International Martial Arts & Boxing of Las Vegas, Nevada, Thomas M. Griffin, 100%, To: Robb & Robb, dba Bird's Super Kids Karate, 450 South Buffalo Drive, Suite 111 - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership and Change of Business Name for a Martial Arts Instruction Business License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Psychic Art and Science License, Lisa A. Fiocco, dba Lisa A. Fiocco, 6848 West Charleston Blvd., Lisa A. Fiocco, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Psychic Art and Science License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the substitution of a subcontractor for Bid Number 01.1739.02-RC, Stewart Avenue Parking Garage - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: Triple J Trenching - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On December 6, 2000, the City Council awarded Bid Number 01.1739.02-RC, Stewart Avenue Parking Garage to Korte - Bellew & Associates (KBA) in the amount of \$15,206,298. KBA is requesting substitution of the underground utility subcontractor, O'Donnell Construction. KBA stated in its request that they were unable to reach an agreement with O'Donnell Construction. It is the request of KBA that Triple J Trenching be allowed to complete the balance of work originally intended for O'Donnell Construction.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the substitution of subcontractor for Bid Number 01.1739.02-RC for the construction of the Stewart Avenue Parking Garage, from O'Donnell Construction to Triple J Trenching.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 010013-DAR, annual requirements contract for air filter service-Department of Field Operations- Award recommended to: AFC AIR FILTER & FIRE EQUIPMENT (Estimated annual amount of \$100,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Facility Ma

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract will provide for all labor, materials and supplies necessary for an air filter service contract at various City of Las Vegas Facilities.

POC: David Murdock 382-8254

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010013-DAR, annual requirements contract for air filter service to AFC Air Filter & Fire Equipment for the period of date of award through February 28, 2002, with four one year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$100,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the rejection of bids and award of bid number 010031-TG, End Dump Trailer-Field Operations-Award recommended to: Blaine Equipment Company Inc. (\$29,570-Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$29,570

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Vehicle Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of one (1) End Dump Trailer. The apparent low bidders, Great Basin Trucks of Nevada and Sooner Great Dane both submitted exceptions to the Technical Specifications. These exceptions are considered material in nature, therefore, staff recommends their bid proposal be rejected

Paul Murphy-702-399-2700

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council reject the bid proposals submitted by Great Basin Trucks of Nevada and Sooner Great Dane and approve the award of bid number 010031-TG End Dump Trailer to Blaine Equipment Company Inc. in the amount of \$29,570.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the award of bid number 010033-TG, annual requirements contract for street light poles and masts-Department of Public Works-Award recommended to: Crescent Electric Supply Company (Estimated annual amount of \$300,000-General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$300,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide the Traffic Engineering/Field Operations Division with an annual requirements contract for the purchase of street light poles and masts.

Jim Nieto- 702-262-9192

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council approve the award of bid number 010033-TG, annual requirements contract for street light poles and masts to Crescent Electric Supply Company for the period from date of award through 1/31/02, with 4 one-year options to renew in the estimated amount of \$300,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, complained about the money being wasted by having streetlight posts that are not being used along Las Vegas Boulevard from Sahara Avenue to Stewart Avenue. They should be taken down and put to use where needed. Some of them are only being used to hold up banners in front of the Federal Courthouse.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed Public Works staff to look into the matter.

There was no further discussion.

(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1014

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number two to Purchase Order 209288(TG) for Firefighters Laboratory Medical Testing-Department of Fire & Rescue-Award recommended to: Associated Pathologists Labs (\$31,000-General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$31,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On August 7, 2000, Purchasing & Contracts awarded bid number 00044-TG, annual requirements contract for Firefighters Laboratory Medical Testing in the estimated annual amount of \$24,000. Revision number two will add an additional \$31,000 to the purchase order to cover additional Firefighters testing required through July 31, 2001.

Sally Bigford-702-733-3719

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number two to Purchase Order Number 209288 to Associated Pathologists Labs in the amount of \$31,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number one to purchase order number 209932, annual requirements contract for fire fighting tools and equipment-Department of Fire Services-Award recommended to: L.N. CURTIS & SONS (\$40,000-General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$40,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On October 11, 2000, Purchasing & Contracts Division awarded an annual requirements contract for the purchase of fire fighting tools and equipment in the estimated annual amount of \$20,000. Revision number one will add an additional \$40,000 to the estimated annual amount to cover additional tools and equipment required for the new fire apparatus vehicles.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number one of purchase order 209932 for the annual requirements contract for fire fighting tools and equipment to L.N. Curtis & Sons in the amount of \$40,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number one to purchase order number 209953, annual requirements contract for original equipment manufacturer parts-Department of Fire Services-Award recommended to: FIRST IN INC. (Estimated annual amount of \$40,000-General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$40,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On October 6, 1999, the City Council approved the issuance of a purchase order for original equipment manufacturer (OEM) parts for Pierce Manufacturing Inc. emergency vehicles in the estimated annual amount of \$40,000. Revision number one will add an additional \$40,000 to purchase order number 209953 to cover additional items required on new fire apparatus units.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve revision number one of purchase order number 209953 for the purchase of original equipment manufacturer (OEM) parts to First In Inc. in the amount of \$40,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of two (2) LifePak 12 defibrillator/monitors with 3D biphasic technology (TG)-Department of Fire Services-Award recommended to: MEDTRONIC PHYSIO-CONTROL (\$40,777.34-General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$40,777.34

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of two (2) LifePak 12 defibrillator/monitors with 3D biphasic technology to support the projected increase of EMS transports.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(d). Equipment which by reason of the training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government is compatible with existing equipment.

Mark Sheldahl-702-493-3258

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of two (2) LifePak 12 defibrillator/monitors with 3D biphasic technology to Medtronics Physio-Control in the amount of \$40,777.34.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Annual Requirements Contract Bid Number 010002-TC (Lot I), to furnish playground equipment; and to reject Lot II - Department of Field Operations - Award for Lot I recommended to: BOYCE RECREATION (\$60,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$60,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of playground equipment.

POC: Rich Boyce-800-453-2735

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Annual Contract Bid Number 010002-TC (Lot I), to purchase playground equipment in the amount of \$60,000, from date of award through October 31, 2001, with 4 one-year options to renew. That the City Council reject Lot II and rebid Lot II due to vendor confusion.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Annual Requirements Contract Bid Number 010021-TC, to furnish park amenities to the City of Las Vegas - Department of Field Operations - Award recommend to: Lot I - MIRACLE PLAYGROUND (\$20,000 - General Fund); Lot II through V - BOYCE RECREATION (\$24,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$44,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request will provide for the purchase of park amenities.

POC: Miracle Playground-702-895-9800

POC: Boyce Recreation-800-453-2735

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Annual Requirements Contract Bid Number 010021-TC, contract to purchase park amenities in the amount of \$44,000, from date of award through December 31, 2001, with 4 one-year options to renew.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Preapproval of award for the purchase of asphalt and paving material for work to be performed on "H Street - Owens to Lake Mead, to the lowest responsible bidder: and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Field Operations (Engineers Estimate - \$90,000)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$90,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

After receipt and evaluation of quotations, this requirement will provide for the purchase of asphalt and paving materials for the project known as "H" Street, Owens to Lake Mead.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council preapprove the award for the purchase of asphalt and paving material for work to be performed on "H" Street - Owens to Lake Mead, to the lowest responsible, responsive bidder and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Arthur Andersen Consultant Services to conduct an analysis of the City's health care coverage (\$35,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: HR Insurance Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In June, 1996, the City contracted with Arthur Andersen LLP to conduct an analysis of the City's health care coverage. Andersen recommended the City initiate a self-insured group health program. The self-insured program has now been in place for two years. It has been determined that prior to issuance of a RFP for health care services for Calendar Year 2002, that an outside consultant should be obtained to evaluate the success or failure of the current program and provide guidance on the most effective provision of health care for the next 2-3 years.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Arthur Andersen Consultant Services Agreement be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Proposal to provide professional services to conduct a detailed group health plan analysis.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant application with the Bureau of Land Management for roadway, sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 28, and the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 29, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., located along Tropical Parkway, east of Bonita Vista Street; Durango Drive, north of Tropical Parkway; and Durango Drive "S" Curve alignment between Tropical Parkway and Centennial Parkway - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way and easement parcels for the Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue Improvements Project between Rancho Drive and I-15 Freeway (\$55,000 - Regional Transportation Commission and Clark County Regional Flood Control) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$55,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC & CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Joint-Use Agreement with the State of Nevada, Department of Transportation that will allow use of State right-of-way for City of Las Vegas drainage facilities, as well as access to and maintenance of the facilities lying within the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 27, the Southeast Quarter (SE 1/4) of Section 28 and the Northeast Quarter (NE 1/4) of Section 33, T20S, R61E, M.D.M. along the east side of the I-15 Freeway, north of Alta Drive/Bonneville Avenue and on the south side of the I-515 Freeway between the I-15 Freeway and the Union Pacific Railroad main line tracks - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City previously approved an Interlocal Cooperative Agreement with NDOT at the City Council meeting of August 11, 1997, Agenda Item #58, that was a prelude to this Joint-Use Agreement. The Interlocal Agreement provided, among other issues, for construction of certain City drainage facilities along with State construction of the Spaghetti Bowl Interchange expansion and provided for joint use of State Right-of-Way by the City. This Joint-Use Agreement completes that requirement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Joint-Use Agreement

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS****Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)****MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract #236b to increase funding and reallocate line items for Gowan Road - US 95 to Decatur Boulevard (\$127,500 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$127,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract #236b will increase engineering costs and engineering cap. It will also reduce funding for right-of-way costs. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their January 11, 2001, Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$4,753,500.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract #236b

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #238c to increase total funding for Washington Avenue - Martin L. King Boulevard to I-15 (\$820,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$820,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract will increase total project funding due to increased construction costs. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their January 11, 2001, Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$5,377,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract #238c

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract #296b to increase total project funding for Jones Boulevard - Rancho to Centennial Parkway (\$912,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$912,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract #296b will increase the total project funding due to an increase in construction costs. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their September 14, 2000, Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$10,681,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract #296b

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Supplemental Interlocal Contract to expand the scope of the project and increase total project funding for Sound Walls at I-15/Sahara Avenue and US 95/Ann Road (\$100,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 1 and 6 (M. McDonald and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Supplemental Interlocal Contract will expand the scope of the project and increase funding for this expansion. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their September 14, 2000, Board meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$600,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #340a

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Contract Modification #2 with Wells Cargo, Inc. for additional work necessary to complete the Gowan Road - US 95 to Decatur Boulevard Project (\$270,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$270,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This contract modification closes out the construction contract with Wells Cargo and reconciles the bid line item quantities with the quantities actually constructed. Also, this contract modification includes the construction cost associated with the removal and replacement of property line walls and fences.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Contract Modification #2

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with Montgomery Watson America, Inc. in conjunction with the Jones Boulevard Sewer from Centennial Parkway to Farm Road (\$180,000 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$180,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas (CLV) desires to obtain quality professional services to perform design and preparation of bid documents for sewer improvements in Jones Boulevard. The pipe to be installed is approximately 8,500 lineal feet of 18" sewer in Jones Blvd from Centennial Parkway to Farm Road. In addition, approximately 1,100 lineal feet of 15" sewer is to be constructed in Farm Road, between Jones Blvd. and Leon Street.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contracts with Clark County Sanitation District and Sewer Connection Agreements requested by Glen D. Hickey, owner (Southwest Corner of Haley Avenue and Tenaya Way) - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect two single-family dwellings located at Tenaya Way and Haley Avenue. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 8" City sewer line located in Haley Avenue. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation." It is understood that the City will provide sewer service only and that no commitment for water is included with approval.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an encroachment request from Kenneth J. Erlanger on behalf of Las Vegas Partners, LLC, owner (southwest corner of Garces Avenue and Casino Center Boulevard) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 12.2' wide strip of landscaping extending approximately 140' westward on Garces Avenue from Casino Center Boulevard, which will consist of 24" box trees, shrubs of various sizes, landscape gravel and rock of various sizes and drip irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-100-64 (172) for the proposed Walsh & Friedman Law Offices. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of liability, maintenance and removal.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of Vicinity Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-11-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Making Provisional Order and Directing that Notice of Hearing thereon be given regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1482 - Gowan Road (Metro Park) from Hualapai Way to Jenson Street (\$101,170.79 - Levy Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$101,170.79

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, commercial driveway approach, sewer laterals, and streetlights.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-11-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack & Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations with Lloyd M. Nelson to purchase Parcel Number 138-28-214-001, approximately .38 acres, located in the vicinity of Cimarron and Westcliff Drives - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On January 10, 2001, the City received an unsolicited letter of proposal from Mr. Lloyd M. Nelson, requesting to purchase this City-owned parcel. The City acquired this parcel from the Clark County Treasurer in October 1998 for back taxes. The parcel was formerly held for possible flood control purposes. Currently no natural drainage path exists across said parcel. Mr. Nelson has indicated to us that he wishes to build a house on the property.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 1/29/2001 and staff recommend approval to enter into negotiations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Site map
2. January 10, 2001, unsolicited letter of proposal

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-32 and 34-54 - UNANIMOUS

Item 33: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:37 – 9:39)

1-958

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action concerning requested changes to the Operating Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the YMCA (\$135,500 annually – General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$136,500 annually☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Utilities☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On January 7, 2000, the City and the Young Men's Christian Association of Southern Nevada (YMCA) entered into an interim operating agreement for the management, operation and maintenance of the City-owned Northwest Family Leisure Services Center. On January 5, 2001, the YMCA directed a letter to City Manager Virginia Valentine requesting that the City assume payment of all utility costs associated with the operation of the Center. The annual cost of these utilities is estimated to be approximately \$136,500. The City does not have a policy regarding payment of utilities in operating arrangements; rather deals with these issues individually by contract.

RECOMMENDATION:

We recommend that the Council review the YMCA request and direct staff accordingly.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from YMCA dated January 5, 2001

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED the request to assume the utility costs for one year – motion carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and M. McDONALD voting NO

COUNCILMEN BROWN and McDONALD disclosed that they previously served on the YMCA Northwest Advisory Committee, which has disbanded. COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that he sought CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC's opinion who indicated that he could participate in the subject matter. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC stated that the last time this matter was discussed, COUNCILMAN BROWN sought the advice of the City Attorney's office based on the same facts. The Committee was voluntary and there was no compensation. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that he would also be participating for the same reasons.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 55 – Discussion and possible action concerning requested changes to the Operating Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the YMCA

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

RICK CRAWFORD, Chairman, YMCA Volunteer Board of Directors, 3915 West Twain

MIKE LUBBE, CEO, YMCA of Southern Nevada, 10665 Blue Nile Court

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

STEVEN HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

TOM McGOWAN, 720 South Casino Center Boulevard

TOMMY RICKETTS, President, City Employees Association

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that the City should seek recompense through the contractor's bonding.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to assist MR. RICKETTS in identifying the documents that he needs and provide him with a copy of those documents. If they are missing, the matter is to be brought back to the attention of the City Council.

(9:41 – 10:25)

1-1091

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible ratification of Robert S. Genzer as Director of Planning & Development (\$97,000 + executive benefits - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$97,000 + executive benefits☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Planning & Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Robert Genzer has been with the Planning & Development department for 27 years. As Deputy Director, he provided support to the Director and oversight of the Comprehensive Planning Division while managing the Current Planning Division. He has a BS degree in city and regional planning from California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo. His extensive experience makes him highly qualified for the position.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended by the City Manager that the City Council ratify the appointment of Robert S. Genzer to the position of Director of Planning & Development.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resume of Robert S. Genzer

MOTION:**REESE – ABEYANCE to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the language regarding employee disclosures needs to be augmented for better clarification.

(10:25 – 10:38)

1-2996

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action regarding potential impacts to the City's operating budget

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Recent events in the national economy as well as our regional economy indicate that the economic growth we have experienced over the last several years is weakening. In addition, the recent controversy over electric power and requested raises in utility rates creates an additional layer of concern. To posture itself against potential budget shortfalls, staff is recommending:

1. Limited restrictions of the hiring of non-essential personnel.
2. Promoting energy savings initiatives where possible
3. Delaying any formal augmentation until the end of the fiscal year to absorb any operating deficiencies.

RECOMMENDATION:

To enact budget saving initiatives dealing with the FY 2000-2001 General Fund budget.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED to promote energy savings initiatives; to put in place a hard freeze on all hires, except those in the area of public safety; requiring that all non-essential positions be justified through the CMIR and submitted to the City Council for approval; that the budget augmentation be held until the end of the fiscal year; that staff put together a plan of action and a list of vacant and essential positions; that the City Employees Association be included in the discussions; and that all these measures be implemented immediately - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHEMS stated that the City of Las Vegas has experienced many good years of revenue growth, but that growth is dependent on the nature of the local economy. Business has down turned and it is being felt in the City's coffers, especially in areas such as sales tax and related revenues. Recently there was an article in the Wall Street newspaper

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 57 – Discussion and possible action regarding potential impacts to the City's operating budget

MINUTES – Continued:

that reported about consumer confidence being the lowest in four years and the confidence of CEO's being the lowest in 20 years.

In terms of the local economy, UNLV is projecting visitor volume growth at only 1.5% compared to the previous 4% rate. New housing this year is projected at three-tenths of one percent, which is considerably below the previous four and five percent rates. Las Vegas is fortunate in that it is still growing despite the reduced numbers; many communities across the country are stagnant and some are even declining.

City sales tax, which is part of the consolidated tax and makes up over half of the budget, is increasing by about 2%; whereas, the current budget is based on a 5% growth. Two percent is the lowest the City has experienced since 1991, which is the last time there was a bit of a downturn in the local economy. There was also quite a notable decrease of 17% in building permits and more than 30% in sewer construction permits from last year.

In addition to the current revenue side, there is the potential for added costs brought on by the current energy situation. The City's total electricity budget is approximately \$7.5 million. If that figure goes up 20%, that would be another \$2 million and \$7 million if it doubles. Another utility that may be increasing in price is natural gas.

In anticipation, staff is requesting the implementation of some precautionary measures to limit the amount of expenditures for this year. Because the largest expenditure category is employee salaries and related benefits, staff is recommending a soft freeze on new hires and refilling vacant positions, limiting it only to essential personnel, which will be defined by the City Manager, until the economy is not as volatile. It is also recommended that the traditional mid-year augmentation of about \$10 million be put in reserve and rolled forward to the next budgeting process to have as an extra cushion.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER SELBY stated that staff is currently taking proactive steps by developing an energy conservation strategy that will help reduce the cost impacts to the City by reducing its consumption during periods of shortage. He noted that at the February 21, 2001, City Council meeting a representative of the Southern Nevada Water Authority would be providing the Council with a comprehensive presentation about issues of power supply deregulation and potential actions for local government intervention.

He continued and reviewed some of the 47 immediate and long-term measures that could be implemented to save money with regard to electricity, such as turning the lights off when not

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 57 – Discussion and possible action regarding potential impacts to the City's operating budget

MINUTES – Continued:

present and computers at the end of the day. In extreme cases, consideration might be given to rescheduling special City events or perhaps alternate work schedules to reduce the amount of energy that is used at City facilities.

These ideas came from all the City Departments in response to a request from the City Manager for ideas to save energy at City facilities, and they will be prioritized to implement the immediate no-cost proposals and determine which of the remaining suggestions would be more beneficial. He thanked all the Department Directors, particularly TIM McANDREW, Emergency Management Officer, for distilling those ideas and analyzing them. He noted that energy-saving measures will continue regardless of the current energy crisis because it is good business practice to save energy and therefore save money.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended staff on its energy-saving measures given the high cost of energy. He agreed that the City must be conservative, as the economy is so unpredictable.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if a four-day workweek is being considered. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE responded that it would only be an option in an extreme fuel or energy crises. She feels that it is very important to continue to provide full services from 8:00 to 5:00, Monday through Friday.

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested clarification on a soft freeze and essential hires. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS answered that it is really a matter of semantics. In the case of a hard freeze there would be absolutely no new hires. Essential hires would vary from case to case and left to the discretion of the City Manager. There may be positions that could be held open for a long time without significant impact to service delivery.

COUNCILMAN BROWN noted that he would rather impose a hard freeze and continue justifying the essential hires through the City Manager's office. COUNCILMAN McDONALD and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD concurred with the hard freeze. COUNCILMAN McDONALD also requested that an action plan be put together. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that she would capsize all of that information in a City Manager's Information Report (CMIR). COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that she would like positions concerning public safety, such as firefighters, to be adequately manned, and that non-essential hires be submitted to the City Council for approval. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that some positions, although not in the realm of public safety, are still critical in order to avoid any unnecessary expenses. Therefore, she requested the opportunity to clarify those positions in the CMIR.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 57 – Discussion and possible action regarding potential impacts to the City's operating budget

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, 720 South Casino Center Boulevard, pointed out that there are more than 120 light fixtures in the Council Chambers alone, plus recording equipment, and television equipment. The City Council should start saving in the Council Chambers.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised that tough times call for changes and that the upcoming budget will be very lean. He asked for everyone's cooperation in order to be able to get through this difficult period.

TODD FARLOW, 240 N.19th Street, pointed out that some efficiencies might impact cost-saving programs, such as Neighborhood Services' rehab program to make homes more energy efficient.

There was no further discussion.

(10:38 – 11:02)

2-49

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action to direct staff to enter into an agreement with Peccole Little League for the programming of fields, construction of a batting cage and other matters pertaining thereto at Rainbow Family Park – Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Since its construction several years ago, Rainbow Park has been used by the Peccole Little League for their league play. The City provides the fields during designated seasons, but is not involved in the management or operation of the Little League's baseball program. Recently, Peccole has raised two concerns over the fields. In a letter dated August 8, 2000, Peccole agreed that the fields would not be available for fall baseball in the future. They have indicated a desire to rescind that agreement. Secondly, Peccole had obtained permits to construct batting cages on the fields that will require electrical connections.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council provide direction with regards to the issue of fall baseball and the installation of batting cages at Rainbow Park.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Copy of letter from Lloyd Schiller dated August 9, 2000.

Copy of building permit obtained for the construction of the batting cages.

Submitted at the meeting: memorandum referenced by Councilman Brown dated 7/18/2000 from John Black to Bobby McRoy

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to follow the Mayor's recommendation to direct the City Manager to conduct an investigation of the allegations of intimidation by a City employee of MR. SCHILLER – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Administrative

Item 58 – Discussion to enter into an agreement with Peccole Little League

MOTION - Continued:

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC disclosed that the subject property is adjacent to his home and that it has been the subject of controversy in his neighborhood and his family; however, he has not worked on this project.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes

APPEARANCES:

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

STUART NIEMS, 2220 Montessori

CRAIG BLAIR, representing Peccole Little League, 9960 Prairie Dove

LLOYD SCHILLER, former President of Peccole Little League

JIM BORNE, President, Peccole Little League, 7612 Paul Weitz Street

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the Mayor instruct the City Manager to conduct an investigation as to who put a stop to fall baseball programming at this facility, who made the comment that the City has no responsibility in finding facilities, which is not the case; who informed the league that it should join another fall program; and who interfered with the construction of the batting cages and the permitting process that had gone through all the necessary channels. Finally, who is the person doing the “butt chewing” in the memorandum from JOHN BLACK regarding Peccole League.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to proceed with an investigation of the allegations of intimidation by a City employee of MR. SCHILLER.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the City Manager make it clear to the City employees that there is a Whistleblower ethics rule and that employees should not feel intimidated to tell the truth.

(11:02 – 11:46)

2-809

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to approve the engagement of Urban Land Institute for services in conjunction with 100 South Grand Central Parkway (\$35,000 - Land Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$35,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** OBD/Economic Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Land Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to provide technical assistance in the selection process for the development of 100 South Grand Central Parkway, staff has contacted Urban Land Institute (ULI). Because of their expertise in Land Development, ULI is willing to hold a 4-day investigation and reporting session to help establish guidelines for the Developer selection process.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Advisory Services Agreement

Updated schedule of events

Submitted at the meeting: Mr. McGowan's written comments

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN abstaining

COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that a few months ago he announced that he would recuse himself from this entire process. Since he would be abstaining, he consulted with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC as to whether he should leave the room. However, CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised him that he could stay in the Chambers and listen to the presentation.

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that staff is seeking the Council's input, guidance, and approval for a more finite schedule about how to down select the Request for Proposals regarding the subject property. The Request for Proposals should be submitted no later than March 2, 2001. She referred to the updated schedule of events and indicated that the schedule might be modified approximately one to two weeks based on some late input from the Urban Land Institute, pushing the date of February 28 to March 4, 5, 6 and 7

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Business Development

Item 59 – Discussion to approve the engagement of Urban Land Institute

MINUTES – Continued:

for the dinner with ULI representatives and the review panel. The review panel consists of members MAYOR GOODMAN, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, CITY MANAGER VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS, and MS. CODER, and a City Centre Development Corporation (CCDC) member, which hopefully would be identified at the next CCDC meeting.

Site tours would then be scheduled and interviews from the ULI representatives to various members of the community who would be most affected by the outcome of the proposed development. These represent the Fremont Street Experience and others, as listed in the backup documentation. She indicated that she would like to conduct a workshop and have ULI prepare a report to be presented to the review panel. Hopefully, at that time the ULI will present their findings so that staff can move forward and narrow down the selection of proposals for presentation and final approval by the City Council. The ultimate decision on how to proceed with development of the 61 acres will be left to the discretion of the City Council.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he had the privilege of attending a seminar of ULI in Southern California and witnessed the high-quality work. The City should feel honored that ULI would take on this project.

TOM McGOWAN, 720 South Casino Center Boulevard, concurred with the high marks given to ULI and submitted his written comments requesting a copy of the contractual agreement to engage ULI for services in conjunction with 100 South Grand Central Parkway.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed that COUNCILMAN REESE be considered as a member of the shortlist review panel, and that she contact the members of the business community to see if they would be willing to pay for some of the City's expenses in bringing in ULI. He suggested contacting DAN VANEP and ROBERT LEWIS.

There was no further discussion.

(11:46 – 11:53)

2-2549

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action to authorize the City Attorney to evaluate the filing of a lawsuit to enjoin the transportation of high level nuclear waste through Las Vegas to Yucca Mountain and to take any other appropriate legal action to protect the citizens of the City of Las Vegas from the transportation of high level nuclear waste

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: Mr. McGowan's written comments

MOTION:

REESE – Motion accepting CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC's report and direction to continue working on the matter – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

TOM MCGOWAN, 720 South Casino Center Boulevard

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to report back to the Council as soon as he feels it is time to take action.

(11:53 – 12:09)

2-2914

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Held in Abeyance from January 17, 2001. Charles Edward Hipsak, 1399 S. Green Valley Parkway #621, Henderson, NV 89052

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to STRIKE - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The appellant was present.

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, stated that MR. HIPSAK decided to withdraw his appeal because he could not obtain the letter requested by the Council from his employer. MR. HIPSAK is still employed and they have discussed other avenues for him to look into to try to resolve his issues.

There was no further discussion.

(12:09 – 12:10)

3-71

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Adam Leslie Croom, 1724 Ryan, Las Vegas, NV 89101

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 62, 64, and 65 and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 69 and 73 to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 69

NOTE: Initially under Item No. 1, COUNCILMAN McDONALD voted yes on Item 69; however, following Item 113 of the afternoon session he requested that the record reflect his abstention on that matter.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37/4:09)

1-892/5-1992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Donald Russell Kelly Jr., 438 Don Pedro Circle, North Las Vegas, NV 89031

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to a six-month (8-1-2001) review and site specific -
UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The appellant was present.

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, explained that a letter was obtained from MR. KELLY'S employer detailing the reasons for his original denial of the work card.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that MR. KELLY'S place of employment is located on Tropical, not Tropicana.

There was no further discussion.

(12:10 – 12:13)

3-116

RECESS: 12:13-12:36 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Rosemary Chirstina Payne,
2631 South Durango Drive #102, Las Vegas, NV 89117

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 62, 64, and 65 and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 69 and 73 to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 69

NOTE: Initially under Item No. 1, COUNCILMAN McDONALD voted yes on Item 69; however, following Item 113 of the afternoon session he requested that the record reflect his abstention on that matter.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37/4:09)

1-892/5-1992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Patsy Pitrello, 5815 West Oakey Boulevard, Las Vegas, NV 89146

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 62, 64, and 65 and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 69 and 73 to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 69

NOTE: Initially under Item No. 1, COUNCILMAN McDONALD voted yes on Item 69; however, following Item 113 of the afternoon session he requested that the record reflect his abstention on that matter.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37/4:09)

1-892/5-1992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing regarding the issuance of General Obligation (Limited Tax) Sewer and Flood Control Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues) in the maximum aggregate principal amount of \$55,000,000 for the purpose of financing improvements to the City's sewer and drainage system. Sewer portion to benefit entire city; flood control project to be located in Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$55,000,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Sanitation and Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Sewer fees/Regional Flood Control District**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Public hearing regarding the issuance of general obligation (limited tax) sewer and flood control bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing improvements to the city's sewer and drainage system. The Regional Flood Control District has signed an inter-local agreement promising to reimburse the cost of the flood control project within approximately 18-48 months.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public hearing, no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, reported that the subject \$55 million bond issue has two components: \$5 million to help fund the Washington Flood Channel and \$50 million to go toward the continued expansion of the Water Pollution Control Facility. This public hearing item is related to Item 89 (Bill No. 2001-18) on this agenda.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 66 – Public hearing regarding the issuance of General Obligation Control Bonds

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(12:37 – 12:38)

3-205

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing regarding the issuance of General Obligation (Limited Tax) Golf Course Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2001, in the amount of \$12,000,000, for the purpose of constructing a municipal golf course - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$12,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Golf Course/Finance

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Golf Course Green Fees

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Public hearing regarding the issuance of general obligation (limited tax) golf course bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing a golf course within the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public hearing, no action necessary

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted after the meeting: email comment forwarded by Mr. Vincent

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, indicated that the proceeds from this debt would be used to construct a golf course and a clubhouse restaurant on a 65-acre site on the corner of Durango and Gowan. He noted that one written comment was received that he would submit to the City Clerk's office for the record.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked whether the City has the ability to issue a lesser amount in bonds if the preliminary construction comes in lower for the construction of the golf course. MR. VINCENT indicated that any remaining proceeds would be used to retire the debt, because the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 67 – Public Hearing regarding the issuance of General Obligation Golf Course Bonds

MINUTES – Continued:

specific purpose for this debt was to build the golf course. COUNCILMAN BROWN pointed out that at one time there was discussion about revenue bonds for the golf course component. MR. VINCENT stated that these bonds are secured with revenue pledged from the golf course operation; but in order to take advantage of the lowest possible interest rate, the bonds are backed by the City's good faith as well as the revenue stream from the golf course operation.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with MR. VINCENT that the agenda item as written would not preclude the City from using additional funding sources to buy down the debt.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(12:38 – 12:41)

3-255

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing regarding the intent of the Las Vegas - Clark County Library District to seek Debt Management Commission approval and voter approval of a proposal to issue general obligations and levy a special elective tax (\$.0469) for library purposes

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 379.0225 requires that before the Library Trustees may propose the issuance of bonds, a public hearing must be first held before the Las Vegas City Council and the Board of County Commissioners.

The bonds, if approved by the registered voters of the District, would be direct and general obligations of the Library District, and the full faith and credit of the Library District would be pledged for the payment of principal and interest. The bonds would be repaid from ad valorem taxes within the Library District.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public hearing only, no action necessary.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted at the meeting: document from Beatrice Turner urging the Council to not support a bond, Executive Summary, and written comments from Mr. McGowan

Submitted after the meeting: letter of objection from Marie Hutton

MOTION:

None required.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that he would not have to abstain on this matter even though MR. BARLOW is his Liaison.

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 68 – Public hearing regarding the intent of the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District to seek Debt Management Commission and voter approval to issue general obligations

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services

RICKY BARLOW, Vice-Chair, Las Vegas-Clark County Library Board

JOHN REDLEIN, Chief Civil Deputy City Attorney

MOISES DENIS, Las Vegas-Clark County Library Board

DR. MELVIN POHL, Chair, Las Vegas-Clark County Library Board

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas resident

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(12:41 – 12:43/1:26 – 2:07)

3-332/3-1986/4-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Ranger Building Corporation, dba Ranger Building Corporation, Jonna S. Foresta, Pres, Secy, Treas, Sigmund A. Rogich, Dir, Rogich Family Trust, 100%, Sigmund A. Rogich, Grantor, Trustee, To: D. Westwood, Inc., dba The Board Room, 2801 Westwood Drive, Ali Davari, Dir, Pres, Treas, 50%, Hassan Davari, Dir, Secy, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be made following the discussion of the investigation by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 62, 64, and 65 and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 69 and 73 to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 69

NOTE: Initially under Item No. 1, COUNCILMAN McDONALD voted yes on Item 69; however, following Item 113 of the afternoon session he requested that the record reflect his abstention on that matter.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37/4:09)

1-892/5-1992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Two-year Review for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Kathy Pearson Woods, dba Relax That Body, 6436 Plumcrest Road, Kathy P. Woods, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Two-year Review for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be made following the discussion of the investigation by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED with no further reviews - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the two-year review was based on the investigation. There were no areas of concern to report; therefore, he recommended approval with no conditions.

There was no further discussion.

(12:43 – 12:44)

3-398

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on the 2001 Community Schools Report and a request for fee increases for Community Schools classes, Track Break, Safekey, and Kids Kamp programs

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Community Schools Report was developed to provide City management information on the operation and financial background of Community Schools. A fee increase is needed to offset the increasing costs to provide programs for the public

RECOMMENDATION:

Community Schools have developed strategies to reduce the fiscal impact on the City of Las Vegas budget. Staff recommends acceptance of the report and to allow a fee increase for the programs listed. The proposed fee increase could generate additional revenue of \$245,000

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Community Schools Report

Submitted at meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation by Dr. Jackson

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 3/7/2001 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services Department

BILLIE BASTIAN, Recreation Division Manager, Leisure Services Department

MARK NORMAN, Recreation Supervisor, Leisure Services Department

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

STEVE HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas resident

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 71 – Discussion and possible action on the 2001 Community Schools Report

APPEARANCES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed that he be briefed on the creation of the interlocal agreements with community schools for programming so that he can better understand the City's role, as well as a comparison report on how the other local municipalities operate their community school programs. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC pointed out that during the previous year's budget hearings CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED performed extensive research and he could only locate a document entitled Open Schools/Open Doors about sharing public facilities. There was no document found that created the financial arrangement. It is his legal opinion, in the absence of such a document, that the City has no obligation to fund this program. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he wants to know how the program started.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that DR. JACKSON provide an explanation of the savings of the four employees between this meeting and the budget process.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY instructed MS. BASTIAN to provide him with a listing of the community schools that have satellites for this type of programming, because the facilities in Ward 5 are full to capacity. He also requested a briefing on where the CDBG funds would be utilized and how they would benefit Ward 5. MS. BASTIAN indicated that she would be contacting COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S office to provide him with the information he requested.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed DR. JACKSON to think of ways to involve the private sector in helping the City fund the Community Schools programs and report back at the 3/7/2001 Council meeting.

(12:44 – 1:26)

3-428

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Public hearing, discussion and possible action to ratify the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

As a member entity of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) and pursuant to state law and interlocal agreement, the City Council must ratify the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan prior to the SNRPC adopting the plan on February 22, 2001, and transmitting it to the state to meet the state's March 1, 2001, deadline.

RECOMMENDATION:

Ratification of the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan as presented.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

SNRPC Regional Policy Plan

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning and Development Department, introduced GREG DALE, McBride, Dale, and Clarion, who is a member of the consulting team that has been working with the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (SNRPC) on the development of the Regional Policy Plan. MR. DALE appeared before the City Council in August of 2000 and gave a presentation on the Plan as it had developed to that point. After a year of hard work by the members of the SNRPC, the Plan is now complete.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 72 – Public hearing, discussion and possible action to ratify the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. GENZER recognized staff members TAMBRI HEYDEN and FRANK FIORE, Planning and Development Department, for their tremendous job in working with the Valley-wide planning directors. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his appreciation to MS. HEYDEN and MR. FIORE, as well as to CITY MANAGER VALENTINE and ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL for their wonderful cooperation. MAYOR GOODMAN noted that as the Chair of the SNRPC he feels very comfortable in defending the autonomy of Southern Nevada before the legislature.

MR. DALE noted that the Plan was approved by the Regional Planning Coalition on January 25, 2001, and recommended for approval by the various jurisdictions. This presentation is the third of six presentations that will be given over the next two weeks, hopefully in time to take the Plan back to the Regional Planning Coalition by the established deadline of March 6, 2001.

In the interest of time and since MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that all of the Council members received copies of the Plan and had an opportunity to review it, MR. DALE quickly highlighted some of the important points of the Plan, using a PowerPoint presentation. He noted that there was a lot of discussion on how to recognize the importance of downtown Las Vegas as the vital center for the region, while making it clear that other communities have downtown areas and are emphasizing their importance.

He suggested adding the following clarifying language to the first bullet under the downtown heading on Page 17: “and in urban centers and unincorporated Clark County.” This language should be added to recognize that there are a lot of urban areas and that they are all important. He also suggested replacing the word “endorse” with the word “review” under the air quality heading on Page 24, because there is a feasibility study occurring, in which the City of Las Vegas is participating, and because it would be premature to endorse that regional board.

Finally, MR. DALE noted that the legislation requires that a conformity process be designed and created. Some of the Council members participated in the conformity workshop that was conducted. This Plan recommends a conformity process, unlike other regions in the country, which is based on consensus and negotiation. That process consists of three steps: self assessments by the communities and agencies, review by the SNRPC, and reconciliation and negotiation. These steps will hopefully lead to certification of local governments as well as other regional and state agencies.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Planning and Development

Item 72 – Public hearing, discussion and possible action to ratify the Southern Nevada Regional Policy Plan

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19th Street, stated that a lot of work went into this Plan and it is a beautiful piece.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed disapproval of the amendment to the to the bullet point on Page 17 regarding the urban areas and the unincorporated Clark County. He opined that it is an oxymoron because counties are supposed to address rural problems. He resents the fact that a member of the County Commission fought so hard to have an area other than the downtown of the City of Las Vegas designated as the center of this Valley.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(2:07 – 2:17)

4-273

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Report on the status of projects for the Environmental Division

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To inform the Council of the current projects being managed by the Division:

Item 1. - The Northwest Water Reclamation Center, Item 2.- Construction at the WPCF, Item 3.
- The Las Vegas Wash Alternate Discharge Study.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 62, 64, and 65 and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 69 and 73 to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining on Item 69

NOTE: Initially under Item No. 1, COUNCILMAN McDONALD voted yes on Item 69; however, following Item 113 of the afternoon session he requested that the record reflect his abstention on that matter.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:35 – 9:37/4:09)

1-892/5-1992

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on a request to install speed bumps on Saylor Way between Washington Boulevard and Carmen Boulevard (\$7,000 - Neighborhood Traffic Management Program) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$7,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Neighborhood Traffic Management Program****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A traffic study conducted on this roadway showed that the 85th percentile speed was 35 mph, and there were 1,657 vehicles per day traveling the street. Saylor Way is a 25 mph residential roadway with a single travel lane in each direction. It does meet warrants for speed bumps, but the Fire Department has requested speed bumps not be installed on emergency response routes. The Traffic and Parking Commission denied speed bumps for this reason, and the requestor has appealed that decision to the City Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission: Denial

Staff Recommendation: Denial in keeping with the request from the Fire Department

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

Submitted at the meeting: 20-signature petition in opposition from Mr. Rees

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the installation of the speed bumps – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, commented that staff's recommendation was for denial, based on the Fire Department's request not to install the speed bumps. However, that morning the Fire Department indicated that there is no concern in this instance; therefore, he requested staff's recommendation for denial be withdrawn.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Public Works

Item 74 – Discussion and possible action on a request to install speed bumps on Saylor Way

MINUTES – Continued:

HENRY REES, 1204 Saylor Way, submitted a petition with 20 signatures in opposition of the speed bumps, and stated that he also appeared before the Traffic Commission in objection to the installation of the speed bumps on Saylor Way because it is a primary emergency route. Most of the residents in the area that he has spoken with are older and have lived in the area for a long time. They do not want speed bumps because they too fear that the bumps will delay emergency vehicles.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that there are also many people in support of installing the speed bumps. Initially, he had some major concerns; however, since the Fire Department is no longer concerned, he too is no longer concerned. He asked MR. REES why he did not want the speed bumps, despite the Fire Department's endorsement. MR. REES answered that the older people do not want the speed bumps so that emergency vehicles can get through. The Traffic and Parking Commission were also more in favor of installing street signs and more enforcement rather than speed bumps.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY said that he is very concerned because Saylor Way has become a major cut-through street and a lot of drivers speed through the neighborhood. He wants to ensure the safety of the students of the nearby high school and the residents.

MR. GOECKE pointed out that the warrant study cut-off point is 40 points. In this case the point value came in at 54 points, which is very high.

There was no further discussion.

(2:17 – 2:24)

4-604

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER

DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE

☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-12-2001 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution supporting legislation that would allow for the extension of the terms of office for Municipal Court Judges

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Assembly Bill 22, which has been prepared for introduction during the 71st Session of the Nevada Legislature, would enable the Las Vegas City Council to extend the term of office of the municipal judges to a maximum of six years. Assembly Bill 22, if adopted, will enable the City Council to provide for more consistent adjudication in the Las Vegas Municipal Court

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council pass the resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-12-2001

Assembly Bill No. 22

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if the subject Resolution would enable the City Council and City Charter. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL answered that it would change the Charter language not to exceed six-year terms, giving the Council the discretion to change the terms to six years or back to four if desired.

COUNCILMAN REESE questioned the reasoning for this Resolution. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL replied that the judges feel that their duties are very similar to those performed by justices of the peace, whose terms are six-year terms throughout Nevada. Also, many of the municipal court judges in the smaller cities throughout Nevada serve six-year terms

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
City Manager
Item 75 – R-12-2001

MINUTES – Continued:

as well, because they often act as justices of the peace. Six-year terms would allow the judges to spend more time on the bench interpreting the law rather than running for office and campaigning. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected that the new terms would not apply to any judge until re-elected.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that the judges feel very uncomfortable seeking contributions to run for office from people that appear before them on almost a daily basis. They were hoping to have two extra years of not having to seek contributions.

ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL indicated that there are several other bills moving through the legislature similar to this resolution for Reno and Henderson.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that R-12-2001 would not impact the City Code as it relates to appointed municipal court judges having to run for office in the next general election.

There was no further discussion.

(2:24 – 2:28)

4-833

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-5 – Provides for the removal and disposition of abandoned shopping carts.

Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Undetermined*

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill is intended to address the problem of abandoned shopping carts more comprehensively than in the past. Cart removal by patrons will continue to be prohibited, but, in addition, the responsibility for cart retrieval will be put on the stores that provide shopping carts. The bill will allow stores to retrieve their own carts, either upon notice or in connection with an ongoing retrieval program, but will also give the City the option of retrieving abandoned carts and charging fees and penalties relating to removal and storage. The City has sought input from affected parties and prepared a business impact statement.

* Potential annual costs to the City will depend on compliance, with costs to be partially offset by fees and penalties.

RECOMMENDATION:

Forwarded to the 2/7/2001 City Council meeting without recommendation pursuant to the 1/16/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/3/2001; First Publication – 1/26/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-5

Business Impact Statement

Submitted immediately after the meeting: Mr. McGowan's written comments

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – Motion to **STRIKE** Bill No. 2001-5, with a new bill to be introduced within 60 days (4/4/2001) – **UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Recommending

Item 76 – Bill No. 2001-5

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that this bill has been in the works since 1998 and there have been many meetings held with the industry and the neighborhoods on this matter. The problem of shopping carts in the streets and neighborhoods is growing, especially in the older neighborhoods. This problem is costing the City taxpayers over \$236,087.50 for cart pickups. The City has tried to work with the industry on the problem and some new ideas have come up, so rather than putting anyone in a difficult position, he suggested to continue working on the matter and hold this bill in abeyance or to strike it.

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that shopping carts is one of his biggest problems in Ward 3. He would be willing to listen to COUNCILMAN MACK and work with the industry on it, but he wants the problem solved as soon as possible. COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that this is a problem Citywide and the entire Council should probably sponsor the bill.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the industry should be included, and her biggest concern is that any expenses imposed on the retailers are going to be passed on to the consumer by raising the costs of their merchandise. It is a Citywide issue and she would like to find a solution, but the Council needs to ensure that its recommendation does not negatively impact the consumer ultimately. COUNCILMAN McDONALD indicated that there are a lot of things to consider, but something has to be done because the carts are becoming a big nuisance.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that shopping carts are not only a nuisance, but also a safety concern because when the wind blows they go into the streets. Perhaps the Mayor could bring the problem to the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition. Although he and COUNCILMAN McDONALD share the same concerns, his belief is that the public sector should take care of its responsibility and business. The California Cart Retrieval Corporation (CCRC) is going to be coming back with a recommendation to the Board at the end of the first quarter. TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, also made a good point that some people do not have transportation or ways to get their groceries home, so he has suggested to the CCRC about the possibility of providing pull carts for people that do not have transportation. He requested that the item be held in abeyance for 60 days and referenced documentation from the CCRC for the record; however, it was not submitted. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC pointed out that the bill would die if not adopted at this meeting. He suggested a motion to strike Bill No. 2001-5 with specific instruction to introduce a new bill within the desired timeframe.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD and MAYOR GOODMAN agreed on a 30-day timeframe. A representative of the CCRC in the audience requested 60 days. COUNCILMAN McDONALD reiterated that every member of the City Council should be involved in this matter.

There was no further discussion.

(2:28 – 2:48)

4-970

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-6 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 808 (Summerlin Area)

Sponsored By: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$46,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects (Summerlin Area). Costs will be recovered over a 20-year period through the levy and collection of special assessments.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at the 2/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/17/2001; First Publication – 1/27/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-6

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5291 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:48)

4-1650

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-7 – Levies Assessment regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808
(Summerlin Area) Sponsored By: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$46,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects (Summerlin Area).

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at the 2/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/17/2001; First Publication – 1/27/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-7

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5293 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:48)

4-1682

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-8 – Allows for the expansion of certain types of nonconforming uses under limited circumstances. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

One of the policies that traditionally underlie local zoning regulations is to discourage the expansion of nonconforming uses. However, in some cases in the City, the implementation of this policy has the effect of discouraging the redevelopment and improvement of areas within the City that are in need of improvement. The policy of discouraging the expansion of nonconforming uses is not as compelling when the regulatory change that renders a use nonconforming is a change in the procedure for obtaining the approval of a use (e.g., a special use permit requirement) or a change that relates to the separation of uses—rather than a change to prohibit a use in a particular area altogether. This bill will allow the expansion of nonconforming uses under very limited conditions when the nonconformity results from one of the minor types of regulatory changes listed above.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at the 2/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/17/2001; First Publication – 2/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Recommending
Item 79 – Bill No. 2001-8

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-9 – Amends the Zoning Code to allow auto brokers as a conditional use in certain zoning districts. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently has no separate zoning category for operations which deal in used vehicles on a wholesale or intermediary basis, but do not engage in retail sales. This bill will establish the category of "auto broker" and allow it to be conducted in commercial and industrial zoning districts as a conditional use, subject to conditions that mitigate any impacts on surrounding properties.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/17/2001; First Publication – 2/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL

Bill No. 2001-10 - Bond ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its General Obligation (limited tax) Medium-Term Public Safety Bonds, Series 2001, in the amount of \$25,000,000, for the purpose of acquiring, constructing and improving fire protection projects of the City - Wards 2, 4 and 6 (L.B. McDonald, Brown and Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$25,000,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire Services/Finance☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** tax over-ride**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 7, 2000, the voters of the City of Las Vegas approved an initiative to increase property taxes 9.5 cents to fund fire-related operating costs and capital improvements, including the use of medium-term financing. This ordinance provides for the issuance of General Obligation (limited tax) Medium-Term Public Safety Bonds, Series 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-10

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-11 - Bond ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its General Obligation (Limited Tax) Golf Course Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2001, in the amount of \$12,000,000, for the purpose of constructing a municipal golf course - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$12,000,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Golf Course/Finance

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Golf Course Green Fees

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The 2001 Golf Course Bond Ordinance authorizes the issuance of general obligation (limited tax) golf course bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing a golf course within the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-11

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-12 – Requires that a pawnbroker's description of pledged property contain manufacturer's as well as other inscribed markings of identification. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Requires pawnbrokers to include in their permanent written record of transactions, manufacturer's serial numbers and all other numbers, letters or marks inscribed as identification on pledged property.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-12

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-13 – Annexation No. A-0012-00(A) – Property Location: 330 feet east of Puli Road and 660 feet south of Lone Mountain Road; Petitioned By: Sierra Madre Visions; Acreage: Approximately 16.40 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located approximately 330 feet east of Puli Road and 660 feet south of Lone Mountain Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 16, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-13 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-14 – Replaces the Central Business District Overlay District with the Downtown Overlay District, and adopts design standards related thereto. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last July the City Council adopted the Las Vegas Downtown Centennial Plan for the downtown area. The Plan included design standards to be implemented in connection with an overlay district. This bill implements the intent of the Plan by adopting the Downtown Overlay District and accompanying standards.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-14

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-15 – Amends the Zoning Code to establish standards for the placement and buffering of certain utility boxes and other utility installations. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code does not specifically address the location of larger utility boxes and utility installations. These installations can be unsightly when not properly buffered. Additionally, they can interfere with signage and with the use and enjoyment of trail corridors. The problem has been addressed on an ad hoc basis by condition of approval, but it is now deemed appropriate to incorporate specific placement and buffering standards into the Zoning Code. This bill will accomplish that objective.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-15

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-16 – Transfers to the City's Zoning Code (Title 19A) various zoning provisions contained in Title 19. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The current version of the City's Zoning Code was adopted in 1997 as Title 19A of the Municipal Code. Title 19, which contained the zoning regulations up to that point, was retained as a "backup" to address the possibility that regulations from Title 19 might inadvertently have been left out of the new Zoning Code. Since then, several such regulations have been identified. This bill will adopt those regulations as part of Title 19A. Once the bill is adopted, Title 19 can be repealed.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-16

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-17 – Designates certain investigators of the Department of Fire and Rescue as peace officers. Proposed by: Virginia Valentine, City Manager

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will designate certain investigators of the Department of Fire and Rescue as peace officers, in accordance with State law.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-17

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-18 - Bond ordinance providing for the issuance of General Obligation (Limited Tax) Sewer and Flood Control Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues) in the maximum aggregate principal amount of \$55,000,000 for the purpose of financing improvements to the City's sewer and drainage system. Sewer portion to benefit entire city; the flood control project to be located in Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$55,000,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Sanitation and Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sewer Fees/Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The 2001 Sewer Bond Ordinance authorizes the issuance of general obligation (limited tax) sewer and flood control bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing improvements to the City's sewer and drainage system. The Regional Flood Control District has signed an interlocal agreement promising to reimburse the cost of the flood control project within approximately 18-48 months.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a recommending committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-18

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

2/20/2001 – Recommending Committee

2/21/2001 – Council Agenda

(2:48 – 2:52)

4-1722

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 2:52 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff, and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting, may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [U-0195-00], Item 107 [VAC-0037-00] and Item 108 [Z-0100-64(176)] to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:13 – 3:14)

5-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GEOCKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing on proposed local improvement district regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1473 - Ann Road (US-95 Freeway to Allen Lane) - (\$421,517.83 - Levy of Assessments Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$421,517.83☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/SID☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Levy of Assessments-Capital Projects Fund-Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, streetlights, sewer mains and laterals, and water mains and laterals. Costs will be recovered over a 10-year period. In accordance with Provisional Order approved by the City Council on the 3rd day of January 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

None

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Opposition petition with 45 signatures

MOTION:**MACK – APPROVED as Recommended – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works, reported that the project consists of a large regional storm sewer that goes from the Rancho Detention Basin all the way across to Ann Road to the Las Vegas Wash. Additionally, the Ann Road improvements consist of roadway improvements, raising median islands, curb, gutter, sidewalks, and streetlights. The total project cost is estimated to be \$20.3 million. The Special Improvement District component with respect to the City of Las Vegas is \$421,000 and the remainder of the funding will come from the Regional Flood Control District, the Regional Transportation Commission and from the City of Las Vegas Sanitation funds.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001

Public Works Department

Item 91 – Special Improvement District No. 1473 - Ann Road (US-95 Freeway to Allen Lane)

MINUTE – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that e-mails were received from residents either in favor or in support of the project. This is a major section line road and has been designated as a major arterial for some time. He is aware that the road is designed for six lanes, but requested that in the interim only four of the lanes be mapped out initially and leave the other portion until needed.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:14 – 3:16)

5-30

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 1712 Lamplighter Lane. PROPERTY OWNER: MARIA DOLORES AND ROMEO J. FERRER - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$1,766.40**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neighborhood Services/Response**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: General Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removal of all broken glass, both inside and out. Removed all trash, debris both inside and out, including the garage and all yards. Removed all outside storage. Secured, boarded and cleaned the vacant house. Posted "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,766.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 92 – 1712 Lamplighter Lane

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB HYDE, Neighborhood Services, had a video shown of the subject property and indicated that broken glass was removed inside and outside. All trash and debris was removed, the vacant house was secured and cleaned and a no trespassing sign was posted. The property was a source of concern to the public and the Fire and Police Departments because of the hazardous conditions. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,766.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:09 – 1:10)

3-100

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 3520 Miramar Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: BERT GERALD - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,651.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant house. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,651.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 93 – 3520 Miramar Drive

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB HYDE, Neighborhood Services, had a video shown of the subject property and indicated that it was cleaned and boarded. The property was a source of concern to the public and the Fire and Police Departments because of the hazardous conditions. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,651.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

MR. HYDE asked that a late clean-up fee of \$250.00 be added to the reported expenses. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed concern about imposing a fee without the property owner's knowledge. MR. HYDE explained that the mortgage company that took the property back, cut off the lock from the door, shampooed the carpets, and unboarded the home, and Neighborhood Services had to secure the property again. But the property owner, BERT GERALD, was not informed of the additional \$250.00 fee. Based on this information, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL advised that the item be held in abeyance so that the owner could be notified and given a right to appeal the entire amount. MR. HYDE instead asked that the City Council take action only on the \$1,651.40 amount.

COUNCILMAN MACK verified with MR. HYDE that the construction companies hired to abate dangerous buildings go through a competitive bidding process and each project is awarded to the lowest bidder.

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas, indicated that according to the back-up material, this house is under foreclosure and the man who originally owned the house cannot be found. He believes that the City notified the loan company. The loan company or a certain company from Arizona is responsible for this house.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:18 – 3:21)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 917 Hart Ave. PROPERTY OWNER: GLADYS L. REED - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$5,232.50☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by demolishing the structure, removing all trash, debris and the vehicle from the property and installing "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was considered to be a nuisance and a danger to the public. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired C.W. Enterprises, Inc., to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$5,232.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 94 – 917 Hart Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB HYDE, Neighborhood Services, had a video shown of the demolished property where all trash, debris and vehicles were removed. Additionally, a no trespassing sign was posted. The property was a source of concern to the public and the Fire and Police Departments because of the hazardous conditions. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired C.W. Enterprises, Inc., to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$5,232.50 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, stated that this house has been a concern for the senior citizens of Hart Street for two years. They have been under siege because of all the unfavorable conditions, especially from the crack users and prostitutes who took over this house. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL informed the Mayor and Council that he spoke with the daughters of the deceased property owner who recognize that the property was a problem and needed to be demolished. They are willing to have a lien placed on the property and are willing to make monthly payments against the lien. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STILL added that the City Treasurer recommended that they come in and sign a note indicating their obligation and to set up payment schedules.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed his appreciation to the property owner's daughters for coming forward.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:21 – 3:25)

5-259

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER, ACTING

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

NO ITEMS

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

95 DA-0001-01 - Triad Development, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

96 Z-0068-85(55) - City of Las Vegas on behalf of Swisher & Hall

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

97 VAC-0038-00 - John Milk, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

98 ABEYANCE ITEM - SD-0066-00 - Church God Christ Ebenezer

99 Z-0026-92(4) - Las Vegas Valley Water District

REQUIRED REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

100 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-97(2) - City of Las Vegas

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

101 U-0109-98(1) - Oxford Technology, Inc. on behalf of Pacific Bell Mobile Services

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

102 Z-0095-91(3) - Bureau of Land Management

REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

103 U-0035-93(2) - Fletcher Jones on behalf of Larsen Electric Sign Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

104 U-0192-00 - Wild West II, Limited Liability Company

105 U-0193-00 - Gilday Trust

106 U-0195-00 - Smith-England Trust

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 7, 2001

VACATION RELATED TO U-0195-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
107 VAC-0037-00 - Smith-England Trust

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0195-00 & VAC-0037-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
108 Z-0100-64(176) - Smith-England Trust

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
109 Z-0108-00 - L. T. Enterprises, Limited Liability Company

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
110 GPA-0031-00 - Jesus and Dalia Sanchez

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0031-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
111 Z-0089-00 - Jesus and Dalia Sanchez

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
112 GPA-0043-00 - Clark County School District

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0043-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
113 SD-0071-00 - Clark County School District

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT - DA-0001-01 - TRIAD DEVELOPMENT, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a review of a Development Agreement pertaining to a parcel of land located on the southeast corner of Village Center Circle and Trails Center Drive (APN: 138-19-811-004), Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald and Brown). Staff recommends **APPROVAL**

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends **APPROVAL**.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED - UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he has a business relationship with MARK FINE

MINUTES:

MARK FINE, 9001 Queensborough Drive, stated that this is the final step of the Major Modification Master Plan and has worked with the area residents throughout this process to ensure that this would be something that would be acceptable by the neighborhood. He also worked with staff and members of the Council who represent this area.

There was no further discussion.

(3:25 – 3:26)

5-362

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - Z-0068-85(55) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS ON BEHALF OF SWISHER & HALL - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 32,983 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING on the southeast corner of Peak Drive and Crimson Canyon Drive (APN: 138-15-310-010), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- **If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.**

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

REBECCA RALSTON, Swisher & Hall, 2801 Tenaya Way, Suite C, explained that the approximate 32,000 square-foot professional office building is located at the southeast corner of Peak Drive and Crimson Canyon Drive, in the Las Vegas Technology Center. The project has been designed in accordance with the Las Vegas Technology CC&RS and received the final approval from the Las Vegas Technology Center Design Review Committee. MS. RALSTON added that her firm's office would be located at this location as well.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 96 – Z-0068-85(55)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, recommended that an additional condition be added, which he read into the record. MS. RALSTON concurred with the added condition.

There was no further discussion.

(3:26 – 3:28)

5-416

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Construct sidewalk on Peak Drive and on Crimson Canyon Drive where such does not exist adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
2. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a, and shall be aligned opposite to or offset a distance acceptable to the Traffic Engineer from existing driveways.
3. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
4. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-68-85 and all other site-related actions.

Standard Conditions

5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened from view.
6. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 96 – Z-0068-85(55)

CONDITION – Continued:

8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0038-00 - JOHN MILK, LIMITED

LIABILITY COMPANY - Petition for a Vacation to vacate a portion of the east half of Sunny Place, north of Bonanza Road, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant, RAY SHAPIRO, and accepted staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:28 – 3:30)

5-483

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 97 – VAC-0038-00

CONDITIONS:

1. This Vacation Application shall be revised to retain a 5-foot wide public streetlight easement overlying the area to be vacated. (*Public Works*)
2. The existing public sidewalk shall be removed and this area shall be incorporated into the landscaping adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of the adjacent site. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (*Public Works*)
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. (*Planning and Development*)
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required. (*Planning and Development*)
5. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (*Public Works*)
6. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development*)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0066-00 - CHURCH GOD CHRIST EBENEZER** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Required Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 10,864 SQUARE FOOT ADDITION TO AN EXISTING CHURCH at 1072 West Bartlett Avenue (APN's: 139-21-510-162, 163, 204 and 205), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the Site Development Plan Review, **DELETING** the Waiver of the Required Landscaping, subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that the language “Waiver of the Landscaping Requirement” be deleted from the application because the landscaping is already in place at the existing church.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – SD-0066-00

MINUTES – Continued:

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, appeared in support of this application because there is something special in the way parishioners are greeted at this church.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, also appeared in support of this application.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, suggested and read into the record an added condition. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that the applicant was aware of and concurred with the added condition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:30 – 3:33)

5-520

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Wheel stops shall be placed at all parking spaces to prevent vehicles from hanging over landscaped areas or pedestrian walkways.
2. Any unused portion of the site shall be landscaped with decorative stone and drought tolerant trees and shrubs.
3. Site landscaping shall be in conformance with the revised landscape plan.

Public Works

4. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a Reversionary Map to revert the underlying lot lines to acreage is necessary; if such reversionary map is required, it shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
5. Dedicate a 15-foot radius on the northeast corner of Bartlett Avenue and Concord Street and an additional 5 feet for a total radius of 15 feet on the southeast corner of Concord Street and Blankenship Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – SD-0066-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

6. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements, such as handicap ramps on the southeast corner of Blankenship Avenue and Concord Street and the northeast corner of Concord Street and Bartlett Avenue, concurrent with development of this site. Also, remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways should be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 98 – SD-0066-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

9. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Blankenship Avenue and Bartlett Avenue adjacent to this site. Blankenship Avenue and Bartlett Avenue are 60-foot rights-of-way, constructed to a 51-foot width.
10. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements in the Blankenship Avenue and Bartlett Avenue public rights-of-way adjacent to this site.

Standard Conditions

11. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened from view.
12. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided in accordance with the submitted landscape plan and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0026-92(4) - LAS VEGAS VALLEY WATER DISTRICT - Request for a Site Development Plan Review of the Master Plan FOR A PROPOSED PUBLIC USE FACILITY (LAS VEGAS SPRINGS PRESERVE), INCLUDING APPROXIMATELY 266,100 SQUARE FEET OF BUILDING AREA FOR A VISITOR CENTER, INTERPRETIVE CENTER, MUSEUM, RESEARCH AREA, GARDEN SUPPORT, AND ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES, on 175.58 acres located on the east side of Valley View Boulevard, between Alta Drive on the south and the US 95 Highway on the north (APN: 139-29-401-001, 139-30-804-001, 139-32-101-001, 139-31-602-003 and 004), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Hard copy of the PowerPoint presentation

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition #1 and #14 as follows:

1. A building elevation and site plan review shall be approved by Planning and Development staff administratively for each phase of the project.
 14. Dedicate the full-width of Alta Drive adjacent to this site and dedicate additional 5 foot radii for total radii of 25 feet at the northeast and southeast corners of Alta Drive and Valley View Boulevard concurrent with development of this site. Such dedication may be “phased” to coincide with on-site development activities.
- UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – Z-0026-82(4)

MOTION – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD disclosed that she serves as honorary member of the Advisory Board that is responsible for raising funds for a portion of this project. She was advised by the City Attorney's office that there is no conflict and could vote on this item.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN requested that the record reflect that he knows little about his wife's involvement with the Las Vegas Springs Preserve so it would not affect his vote on this issue.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he is on the Board of Directors for the Las Vegas Springs Preserve and on the Southern Nevada Water Authority in his capacity as a Council member. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT advised him that he could vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JERRY SEVEY, Las Vegas Valley Water District, 1001 South Valley View Boulevard, presented a report of the proposed Las Vegas Springs Preserve. The property is located at the corner of Valley View Boulevard and US-95, Alta Drive to the south and a housing development to the east. An existing 30 million gallon reservoir will be demolished and a new one will be built with a parking lot on top. There will be an orientation area that will lead to the visitor center, which will feature Las Vegas from the past, present and future. The desert living center is an extended demonstration garden dealing with issues of sustainability throughout all aspects. The existing detention basin will be turned into a wetlands area and an area has been reserved for the State Museum, which will come onto the site when they get the funding. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his appreciation for MR. SEVEY's presentation because the public deserves to see how money is being spent in this area and what the project is about.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended the applicant on his efforts, as well as MARK OVENSTEIN and his staff at UNLV.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in support of this project, which he has been advocating for 15 years.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – Z-0026-82(4)

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD echoed COUNCILMAN MACK's comments adding that this project will enhance this particular area. Environmental studies have been done in order to preserve the history of how the "Meadows"/Las Vegas was founded.

MR. SEVEY asked that Condition #1 be amended to reflect that the building elevation and site plan be reviewed administratively. He also referred to Condition #14 requiring the dedication of Alta Drive prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits. Staff felt that that was intended for grading permits for buildings, not for general site cleanup and other preparatory work being done on the site. BART ANDERSON, Public Works, verified that the dedication could be as development occurs.

In response to MR. SEVEY's request, CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, read into the record amended Condition #1, with which MR. SEVEY concurred.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:33 – 3:41)

5-630

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission for the first phase of the overall site development prior to approval of issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site. Subsequent phases shall be required to have a Site Development Plan Review approved administratively by the Planning and Development Department Staff.
2. The site plans submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall depict parking and access areas within the planning phase, prior to approval of issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.
3. Building elevations submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall depict detailed building elevations reflecting varied rooflines, building roofline accent features and regularly-spaced vertical facade elements on all sides of each building, prior to approval of issuance or any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site. In addition, all of the elevations shall include elevation materials and colors proposed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – Z-0026-82(4)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

4. Building elevations submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall depict loading dock enclosures consisting of solid masonry walls of at least ten feet in height along the length of loading spaces at the rear of any building incorporating a loading dock, prior to approval of issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.
5. The site plans submitted with each detailed Site Development Plan Review application shall incorporate design standards for pedestrian pathways including conceptual landscaping of pathway right-of-way areas and cross-sections of the pathways, prior to approval of issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.
6. Wallpack lighting shall utilize “shoe-box” fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize “shoe-box” fixtures and downward-directed lights.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
11. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
12. Service vehicles using the access onto Alta Drive will be encouraged to use Valley View Boulevard for access to and from the access at Alta Drive.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – Z-0026-82(4)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Public Works

13. Dedicate the full-width of Alta Drive adjacent to this site and dedicate additional 5 foot radii for total radii of 25 feet at the northeast and southeast corners of Alta Drive and Valley View Boulevard prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site.
14. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Alta Drive and Valley View Boulevard adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
15. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineer for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a as required by the Department of Public Works.
17. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 99 – Z-0026-82(4)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

18. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REQUIRED REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(2)** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Required Review for 13 EXISTING OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGNS on property bounded by US-95, I-15, and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001, 139-33-610-002, 139-33-511-002, and 139-33-410-002), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- This approval excludes the two off-premise advertising (billboard) signs within the area bounded by US-95 on the north, “F” Street on the west, Grand Central Parkway/Ogden Avenue on the south, and the Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way on the east, which shall be held in abeyance.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY PAUL LARSEN, 300 South 4th Street, appeared on behalf of Eller Outdoor Media, and ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Infinity Outdoor. ATTORNEY LARSEN stated that he and ATTORNEY GRONAUER concur with staff’s conditions, as well as the added condition, which CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, read into the record.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 100 – Z-0100-97(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

AL GALLEGO, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that one of the billboards located in the proximity of the Las Vegas creek is close to an exposed pipeline that comes from California. His concern is that the billboard might topple over, sever the pipeline and cause a hazardous condition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:41 – 3:45)

5-920

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Use shall be reviewed in one (1) year, at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) signs be removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) signs and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0109-98(1) - OXFORD TECHNOLOGY, INC. ON BEHALF OF PACIFIC BELL MOBILE SERVICES - Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit at 2310 Las Vegas Boulevard South (APN: 162-04-813-069) which allowed a 50 foot high communication tower, R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER SPENCER, Pacific Bell Wireless, 1211 Town Center Drive, Suite #100, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that on December 6, 2000, the City Council approved a new monopole that will hold Sprint PCS, AT&T Wireless and Pacific Bell Wireless. This request is to allow Pacific Bell Wireless to continue the use of the wireless facility contingent upon the removal of the tower within 30 days once Pacific Bell Wireless is on the new tower. MR. SPENCER discussed with COUNCILMAN REESE that the new tower should be ready within six months.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 101 – U-0109-98(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:45 – 3:46)

5-1052

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This monopole shall be removed within 30 days of the commencement of operations of the monopole at 2307 Fairfield Avenue.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0095-91(3) - BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Review of Condition for 20 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Decatur Boulevard and Iron Mountain Road (APN: 125-01-701-001) which allowed the delay of the installation of the required landscaping, C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ALLEN HOLMES, Nevada Power Company, 6226 West Sahara Avenue, appeared on behalf of Nevada Power Company, who leases land from the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). MR. HOLMES stated that there has been no development in this particular area and that the delay of the installation of the required landscaping is appropriate.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that there is a lot of work to be done. MR. HOLMES complimented the City's efforts in addressing the conservation of energy. He clarified that Nevada Power Company has secured estimated requirements for this summer and do not expect any complications, as long as its financial security is kept intact.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 102 – Z-0095-91(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that typically the City does not delay the installation of required landscaping. However, this area is undeveloped and if landscaping is installed, there is the potential of it being stolen.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN requested that MR. HOLMES work closely with the City Manager's office and also be present during the City of Las Vegas Budget Hearing to give his input on how the increase in the electricity rates, regulation or deregulation might impact the City's various budget decisions.

(3:46 – 3:49)

5-1105

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Review of Condition shall be reviewed in one (1) year, at which time the City Council may require the applicant install the landscaping required by approval of the Site Development Plan Review [Z-95-95(1)].
2. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Zoning Application Z-95-91, Site Development Plan Review [Z-95-95(1)] and all subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0035-93(2) - FLETCHER JONES ON BEHALF OF LARSEN ELECTRIC SIGN COMPANY - Required Five Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit on the southeast corner of the intersection of Rancho Drive and Ann Road (APN: 125-34-501-003) which allowed a 40 foot high, 12 foot 6 inch x 17 foot 6 inch off-premise advertising (billboard) sign, C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFF SPECIAL, owner and representative of Bob Taylor Ranch House, the lessee of the land from Fletcher Jones, was present.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that this sign is an example of how unobtrusive billboard signs can work in a community.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 103 – U-0035-93(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:49 – 3:51)

5-1205

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in the requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is to be removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0192-00 - WILD WEST II, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED RESTAURANT at 2650 Tenaya Way (APN: 138-15-710-024), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development Department, announced that the applicant was present earlier, but had to leave due to a previous commitment. He verified for COUNCILMAN BROWN that the item is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:51 – 3:52)

5-1260

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 104 – U-0192-00

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all conditions of approval for the Rezoning Z-68-85 and Site Development Plan Review Z-68-85(42).
3. All City Code requirements and all City Departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0193-00 - GILDAY TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PACKAGED LIQUOR SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING CONVENIENCE STORE at 7010 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 138-34-402-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="1"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID EDER, Nevada Gaming Application Consultants, HCR31 Box 744, Sandy Valley, Nevada, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:52 – 3:53)

5-1294

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 105 – U-0193-00

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Sale of alcoholic beverages shall be limited to the sale of beer and wine only.
2. The sale of individual containers of any size beer, wine coolers, or screw cap wine is prohibited.
3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
5. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
6. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0195-00** - **SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST**
- Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 270-UNIT MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
DEVELOPMENT located at 440 Hoover Avenue (APN: 139-34-410-141 and 163), C-2 (General
Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff
recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 106 [U-0195-000],
Item 107 [VAC-0037-00] and Item 108 [Z-0100-64(176)] to 2/21/2001 – **UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:13 – 3:14)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION RELATED TO U-0195-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-0037-00 - SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST** - Request for a Petition to vacate the public sewer easement generally located between Las Vegas Boulevard and 4th Street, south of Hoover Avenue, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 106 [U-0195-000], Item 107 [VAC-0037-00] and Item 108 [Z-0100-64(176)] to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:13 – 3:14)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0195-00 & VAC-0037-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-64(176) - SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 120,330 SQUARE FOOT, 270 UNIT MIXED-USE MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT; FOR A WAIVER OF THE DOWNTOWN CENTENNIAL PLAN STREETScape DESIGN AND LANDSCAPE STANDARDS; AND FOR A WAIVER OF THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS on 0.70 acres located at 440 Hoover Avenue (APN:139-34-410-141 and 163), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 106 [U-0195-000], Item 107 [VAC-0037-00] and Item 108 [Z-0100-64(176)] to 2/21/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(3:13 – 3:14)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0108-00 - L. T. ENTERPRISES, LIMITED

LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) and C-2 (General Commercial) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 1.04 acres located on the west side of Leon Avenue, approximately 400 feet south of Alexander Road (APN: 138-12-110-020), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition #2 that a Site Development Plan Review shall be approved at a public hearing by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site; and the following added condition:

- The entire parcel shall be rezoned as O (Office).
- UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JERRY COSTELLO, 2211 Rampart Boulevard, #109, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0108-00

MINUTES – Continued:

PAM HALL, 5025 Judy Court, appeared on behalf of the Northwest Area Residents Association (NARA). She stated that the residents expressed concerns regarding the zone change and its effect on their rural preservation neighborhoods. However, they do realize that with this particular piece of property there is not a lot that can be done and appreciates the applicant requesting the change to office. A C-2 zoning would leave it open to any kind of business. The residents' main concern is to protect them, the existing homes and at the same time keeping the integrity of the neighborhood by preserving its quiet and serene environment. MS. HALL hopes that the applicant will work with the area residents on the Site Development Plan as well. If the applicant has a successful business, the neighborhood would also be successful, making for a good environment for the entire community.

MAYOR GOODMAN urged that developers continue working with their neighbors because it seems that it can work when both developers and residents get together and communicate to resolve concerns before they become an issue.

LIZ THOMPSON, 3909 Leon Street, appeared in support of the office use, which she feels is the best use for this particular area.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, confirmed with MAYOR GOODMAN that there are no trails along this project.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, indicated that the Site Development Plan Review is scheduled to go before the Planning Commission at a public hearing on 2/22/01. The public notices would be sent within the same radius as the notice for the rezoning. He encouraged the applicant to go before NARA with the site plan before the item goes before the Planning Commission. MR. COSTELLO replied that he would be happy to meet with NARA the afternoon before the Planning Commission meeting.

MR. GLORE clarified that the Planning Commission would take final action on the Site Development Plan Review. However, the Council may bring it forward if they choose. COUNCILMAN MACK instructed staff to bring the Site Development Plan Review before the City Council at a public hearing. MR. GLORE suggested that Condition #2 be amended to reflect such a change.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0108-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked NARA for their involvement in neighborhood planning. This is a particular issue because a small portion of the property was R-E and the rest was C-2. He was concerned that if the office use was not approved, a much denser use might have gone in.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:53 – 4:01)

5-1330

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved at a public hearing by the Planning Commission prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0031-00 - JESUS AND DALIA SANCHEZ** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: O (Office) on 0.15 acres at 2412 East Ogden Avenue (APN: 139-35-718-009), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant, DALIA SANCHEZ, verified for COUNCILMAN REESE that she understands the only use in this particular area is office use. COUNCILMAN REESE stated that this project would be located across from a new successful shopping center, which will positively impact this location and at the same time maintain the integrity of the neighborhood. MS. SANCHEZ concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – GPA-0031-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 110 [GPA-0031-00] and Item 111 [Z-0089-00] was held under item 110 [GPA-0031-00].

(4:01 – 4:04)

5-1670

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0031-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0089-00 - JESUS AND DALIA SANCHEZ** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) on 0.15 acres at 2412 East Ogden Avenue (APN: 139-35-718-009), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant, DALIA SANCHEZ, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0089-00

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 110 [GPA-0031-00] and Item 111 [Z-0089-00] was held under item 110 [GPA-0031-00].

(4:01 – 4:04)

5-1670

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review and Waiver of Landscaping for this property and the property adjacent to the east, shall be approved by the Planning Commission prior to issuance of any permits for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
4. Provide to the City a copy of a recorded Joint Access Agreement between this site and the parcel to the east to provide for the perpetual unobstructed use of all driveways servicing these two sites.
5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new driveways or modifications to existing driveways should be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0089-00

CONDITIONS:

requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-0043-00 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: P (Park) TO: PF (Public Facility) of 13.65 Acres on the southwest corner of 28th Street and Stewart Avenue, (APN's: 139-36-302-001 and 002), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority vote on a motion for approval which is tantamount to DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority vote on a motion for approval which is tantamount to DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, appeared together with JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects. MR. LaCROIX stated that he is proud to present this \$10 million school for construction, which will benefit those kids who currently are housed at the Sunrise Acres facility.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that this school is needed in this particular area. He referred to Item 71 [2001 Community Schools Report] and the discussion of modular buildings for the safe-key program and suggested that the new school facilities be used for programs such as the safe-key program.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – GPA-0043-00

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development Department, suggested that a condition be added to Item 113 [SD-0071-00] stating that if this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an extension of time is granted.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked the School District for working with the City and verified with MR. LOPEMAN that the new school will be completed by March 2002.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 112 [GPA-0043-00] and Item 113 SD-0071-00] was held under Item 112 [GPA-0043-00].

(4:04 – 4:07)

5-1769

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0043-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0071-00 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 62,500 SQUARE FOOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND 4.5 ACRE CITY PARK on 13.65 acres at the southwest corner of the intersection of Stewart Avenue and 28th Street (APN: 139-36-302-001 and 002), C-V (Civic) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an extension of time is granted.

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, appeared together with JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – SD-0071-00

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 112 [GPA-0043-00] and Item 113 SD-0071-00] was held under Item 112 [GPA-0043-00].

(4:04 – 4:07)

5-1769

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan, landscape plans, and building elevations, except as modified by the conditions herein.
2. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict a minimum 10-foot wide planter along the 160-foot length of the site frontage north of the northern parking lot access driveway at 28th Street, incorporating trees and shrubs consistent with the proposed planting of the planter between the two parking lot access driveways.
3. Wallpack lighting shall utilize “shoe-box” fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize “shoe-box” fixtures and downward-directed lights.
4. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
7. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 113 – SD-0071-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Public Works

8. Petition of Vacation Applications VAC-8-00 and VAC-13-00 shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for any structures overlying the areas to be vacated.
9. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. The driveway accessing Eastern Avenue shall meet the approval of the Nevada Department of Transportation. All other driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
11. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
12. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study, or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works, must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND HEARINGS OFFICER MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 1302 Stewart Avenue, DB 4333 Foxpoint Drive, DB 2214 Fairfield Avenue, MSH-0004-00, SD-0075-00, V-0131-90(2), V-0087-99(1), V-0069-00, V-0081-00, V-0085-00, U-139-95(6), U-0140-95(6), U-0139-00, U-0166-00, U-0201-00, U-0202-00 – 2/21/2001 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

(4:10)

5-1998

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked whether there is a task force that looks into bringing government facilities under one roof. For instance, could the libraries be turned into a school and accommodate programs, such as the safe-key program. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition enables representatives from other municipalities to discuss matters of common interest, such as issues brought forth by MR. FARLOW.

(4:10 – 4:12)

5-2011

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood Avenue, Apartment #26A, brought forward concerns regarding organized crime programs. She wants her name off these programs because she did not vote for them and because they threaten her life. Her rights as a citizen are continually being violated and she feels she is being victimized.

(4:12 – 4:14)

5-2080

ZACHARY CARTER and FRANK TREHO appeared on behalf of Creative Physical Fitness, a non-profit organization dedicated to benefit mentally, disabled, at risk and abused children. He asked the Council for their help in establishing a program for the youths in Las Vegas and to meet with them to further explain this program. He invited all the members of the Council to visit Ellen J. Stewart on Tuesdays to see first hand the program in action. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that he has been at Ellen J. Stewart around Christmastime together with SHERIFF KELLER and Metro officers. It was one of the most moving experiences of his life because it made a tremendous impact on those people utilizing the services. He invited MR. CARTER to contact his office to further discuss this program.

MR. TREHO explained that this martial arts program enhances the individuals' physical education through karate. The objective is to establish a facility that can house all disabled children; mentally, physically or socially. This program will give them a choice other than jail.

(4:14 – 4:17)

5-2215

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001 Citizen Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

LAURENCE T. INKATHA, President and founder of the Inkatha Youth Foundation for the Arts, presented brochures to the Mayor and City Council regarding his foundation. He explained that at the next City Council meeting he will be bringing 10 trainees of the Inkatha Account Manager's program, who will ask the City Council to sponsor them for \$15.00 a month for one year. MR. INKATHA added that he launched a campaign to obtain at least 1,000 citizens to sponsor this program. This program is tax-exempt. The goal is to obtain a budget of \$15,000 per month; \$5,000 will go directly to the children in a monthly payroll check, \$5,000 will pay the bills for the foundation and \$5,000 will go toward the production for the Inkatha catalogues. The catalog features CD's, videos and books produced by local artists. Anyone who donates \$15.00 gets to choose a gift from this catalog.

MR. INKATHA added that these children have been working hard and have taken a position of leadership in the community. He has been trying to find a way to work with children for 12 years and he is convinced that citizens can provide these children an opportunity to save themselves. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that MR. INKATHA, as well as MR. SPENCER, are still in time to submit an application to the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Board, who reviews requests for federal dollars for communal activities such as these programs. He urged them to contact SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director of Neighborhood Services, to ask whether they qualify for submission of a proposal. The committee will then evaluate these programs and bring back a recommendation to the City Council as to how the federal money should be dispersed.

(4:17 – 4:20)

5-2319

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, commented that the literature announcing the 5-K Run scheduled for downtown invites people 18 to 55 years old to participate, but does not mention the people 56 years of age and older. He said he would participate in the race if MAYOR GOODMAN would join him and they could walk together. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that he is up to the challenge if his feet are well.

(4:20 – 4:21)

5-2512

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:21 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 7, 2001

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES

MAYOR GOODMAN directed Public Works staff to look into the matter. (See Item No. 33)
(9:39 – 9:41)

1-1014

MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that the City should seek recompense through the contractor's bonding. (See Item No. 55)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to assist MR. RICKETTS in identifying the documents that he needs and provide him with a copy of those documents. If they are missing, the matter is to be brought back to the attention of the City Council. (See Item No. 55)

(9:41 – 10:25)

1-1091

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the language regarding employee disclosures needs to be augmented for better clarification. (See Item No. 56)

(10:25 – 10:38)

1-2996

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the Mayor instruct the City Manager to conduct an investigation as to who put a stop to fall baseball programming at this facility, who made the comment that the City has no responsibility in finding facilities, which is not the case; who informed the league that it should join another fall program; and who interfered with the construction of the batting cages and the permitting process that had gone through all the necessary channels. Finally, who is the person doing the "butt chewing" in the memorandum from JOHN BLACK regarding Peccole League. (See Item No. 58)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VALENTINE to proceed with an investigation of the allegations of intimidation by a City employee of MR. SCHILLER. (See Item No. 58)

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that the City Manager make it clear to the City employees that there is a Whistleblower ethics rule and that employees should not feel intimidated to tell the truth. (See Item No. 58)

(11:02 – 11:46)

2-809



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001
Notes and Directives - Continued

MAYOR GOODMAN directed that COUNCILMAN REESE be considered as a member of the shortlist review panel, and that she contact the members of the business community to see if they would be willing to pay for some of the City's expenses in bringing in ULI. He suggested contacting DAN VANEP and ROBERT LEWIS. (See Item No. 59)

(11:46 – 11:53)

2-2549

MAYOR GOODMAN instructed CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC to report back to the Council as soon as he feels it is time to take action. (See Item No. 60)

(11:53 – 12:09)

2-2914

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed that he be briefed on the creation of the interlocal agreements with community schools for programming so that he can better understand the City's role, as well as a comparison report on how the other local municipalities operate their community school programs. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC pointed out that during the previous year's budget hearings CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED performed extensive research and he could only locate a document entitled Open Schools/Open Doors about sharing public facilities. There was no document found that created the financial arrangement. It is his legal opinion, in the absence of such a document, that the City has no obligation to fund this program. COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he wants to know how the program started. (See Item No. 71)

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested that DR. JACKSON provide an explanation of the savings of the four employees between this meeting and the budget process. (See Item No. 71)

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY instructed MS. BASTIAN to provide him with a listing of the community schools that have satellites for this type of programming, because the facilities in Ward 5 are full to capacity. He also requested a briefing on where the CDBG funds would be utilized and how they would benefit Ward 5. MS. BASTIAN indicated that she would be contacting COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S office to provide him with the information he requested. (See Item No. 71)

MAYOR GOODMAN directed DR. JACKSON to think of ways to involve the private sector in helping the City fund the community schools programs and report back at the 3/7/01 Council meeting. (See Item No. 71)

(12:44 – 1:26)

3-428

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 7, 2001 Notes and Directives - Continued

MAYOR GOODMAN requested that MR. HOLMES work closely with the City Manager's Office and also be present during the City of Las Vegas Budget Hearing to give his input on how the increase in the electric rates, regulation or deregulation might impact the City's various budget decisions. (See Item 102 [Z-0095-91(3)]).

(3:46 – 3:49)

5-1105